

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/544,891	08/05/2005	Detlef Gneuss	HM-654PCT 6481		
<sup>40570</sup> FRIEDRICH K	7590 05/07/200 UEFFNER	7 .	EXAMINER		
317 MADISON	N AVENUE, SUITE 91	PASCHALL, MARK H			
NEW YORK, I	NY 10017		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3742		
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	05/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

ľ	ı	1	
L	ł	1	
E	ĸ	1	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Community	10/544,891	GNEUSS, DETLEF				
Office Action Summary	Examiner .	Art Unit				
	Mark H. Paschall	3742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Fe	ebruary 2002.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	with the first consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.	•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement					
	·					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	•					
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
.*						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ratent Application				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						
PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Ac	ction Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 20070430				

Application/Control Number: 10/544,891

Art Unit: 3742

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anders (4,332,541) in view of EP (492,425) and Bacher, 5,308,484. Anders teaches the claimed subject matter including pressure sensing to determine filter change, see column 3 line 49. Determination is made to change the filter, and not to control the process variables of the melt, as claimed. EP is applied for teaching that process parameters such as the extrusion speed can be changed in accordance with sensed temperature and pressure in the system, and use of the same leads to enhanced production. In view of this teaching it would have been obvious to modify the Anders system with extrusion parameter control such as speed of the melt, in accordance with the pressure change, to further enhance the melt process such as production. The patent to Bacher et al is applied for teaching that the filter unit can comprise a disc structure. See column 3 lines 30-64, which mentions use of two perforated plates, which do comprise disc format barring further description of the same . in view of this teaching it would have been obvious to modify the Anders patent further to use a disc for the screen, the particular shape of the screen not patentably limiting since both the claimed system and the Bacher system perform satisfactory filtering of the material. Use of a

Art Unit: 3742

controllable valve as per claim 3 is a matter of choice, in lieu of the speed change, well within the level of ordinary skill in the art. As per claim 6 the operator would find it inherent that the monitoring set forth would lead to quality management, as claimed.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark H. Paschall whose telephone number is 571 272-4784. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am - 3pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Mark H Paschall Primary Examiner Art Unit 3742 MHPadull

Мр